श्री गणेश पुराणम् THE GAŅEŚA PURĀŅAM

भूमिका

डॉ॰ रामकरण शर्मा

(विरतसेव:) संयुक्तशिक्षामन्त्रयिता भारतशासने, प्रवर्तकनिदेशको राष्ट्रिय संस्कृत संस्थानस्य, कुलपित: कामेश्वरसिंहदरभंगा-एवं सम्पूर्णानन्द-संस्कृत विश्वविद्यालययो:।



नाग पब्लिशर्स

११. ए. यू. ए., जवाहर नगर, दिल्ली-११० ००७ (भारत)

INTRODUCTION

ed in 1892 (Bombay, Gopāla Nārāyaṇa Press) in lof the two Upapurāṇas (Mudgalapurāṇa being the ms. form, as edited by Uddhavācārya Aināpure i other one) solely dealing with Ganesa as the and Kṛṣṇa Śāstrī Pitrye. Gaṇeśagītā - a section of | Supreme Divine Power. this Purana was published in 1906 together with Nīlakantha's commentary Gaņapatibhāvadīpikā (edited by the Pandits of the Anandasrama) by Anandāśrama Press. Poona.

This Purana finds a first place in Ganesa Grantha Section of the illustrious work Sri Ganeśakośa (ed. Amarendra Gadgil, Śrīrāma Book Agency, Pune, second edition 1981).

The edition of the Purana together with Marathi translation by V.S.S. Vișņuśāstri Bapat, published by Damodar Lakşmana Lele (Modavıtta Chapakhana, Wai, 1905-6) was perhaps the first attempt to popularise this illustrious text on Ganesa. This has also been long out of print.

Thus it is quite in the fitness of things that M/S Nag Publishers should have thought of

Srīganešapurāņa was, perhaps, first publish- bringing out a reprint of Śrīganeśa Purāṇa - one

The Ganesapurana itself describes itself as an Upapurana: अन्यान्युरप्राणानि वर्तनं रण्टादर्शेव च । गाणेश नारदीय च नुसिहादीन्यथायिच । । 1. 8

It, is, however not listed among the eighteen upapurāņas mentioned in the Kurmapurāņas, as follows:

अन्यान्यपप्राणानि मुनिभिः कथितानित् । अध्यादश पुराणानि श्रुत्वा सक्षेपती दिजाः ॥ आद्यं सनत्कमारोकं नारसिहमतः परम् । ततीय ¹ स्कान्दमुद्दिष्ट कुमारेण तु भाषितम् ॥ वतुर्थं शिवधमारूयं साक्षान्तन्दीशभाषितम् । द्वांससोक्तभाश्चयं नारदीयमतः परम् ।। ²कापिल वामनञ्ज्ञेव तथैवाशनसेरितम् ।

ब्रह्माण्ड वारुणञ्चेष कालिकाह्यमेव च ।। माहेरवर तथा असम्बं सीर सर्वार्थसञ्चयम । ⁹परा**शरोक्त भारीचं तथैव भार्गवाद्वयम**ा।⁵

Preceding pada reads as नान्दकश्वरयामञ्च The variants noted in the footnote are from the sabda-kalpaduma which defines Upapurana as ''(उपिननं पुरायोः) 'ब्लासकृताप्टादशयुराणसदृशनानामृन्यादि-प्रणोताप्टादश पुराणम् " and quotes the above verses with variants from "भलमासतत्त्वधनकर्मप्राणम्". The lexicon also defines दैव as देवीपुराणम्, But the fact remains that neither the Venkateshvar press edition (Reprint, Nag Publisher, Delhi 1983) of the Kurmapurana nor the representative quotations therefrom as in the Sabdakalpadruma list Śrīganeśa-Purāņa as an upapurāņa. M W has slightly differernt a version of the Kurmapurana's listing of the upapuranas as follows:

"Upa-purāṇam n. a secondary or minor Purana (eighteen are enumerated; the following

^{1.} वायबीनं च । 2. कापिल वारणं शाम्यं । 3. कल्की देवं सर्वार्थसिद्धिदम् । 4. पराशराकमपरं मारीचं भास्कराह्नयम् । 5. Verse 19 bigins with नन्दिकश्वरयुग्मं च ।

is the list in the Kūrma-Purāna: 1. Sanatkumāra. 2. Narasimha (fr. Nṛsimha). 3. Bhāmāa. 4. Sivadharma 5. Daurvāsasa. 6. Nāradīya. 7. Kāpila. 8. Vāmana. 9. Ausanasa 10. Brahmānāa 11. Vāruna. 12. Kālikā-purāna. 13. Māhesvara 14. Sāmba. 15. Saura. 16. Pārāsara. 17. Mārīca. 18. Bhārgava)".

The above listing tallies with the one as in the Venkateshvar Press edition of the Kurma-purāṇa except that the third upapurāṇa named here is Bhāṇda which could be a printing error for Skānda. There is no Vāyavīya, no kalkī, no Daiva here.

Are we now to conclude that Śnganeśapurāna was not known to the author of the Kūrmapurāna? But the fact that at least the Ganeśagītā forming part of the Ganeśapurāna (as already available in print) was commented upon by Nīlakantha establishes the relative antiquity of this Purāna. Moreover, there is every reason to believe that Bhārgava and Ganeṣa Purānas are one and the same, inasmuch as the extant text of the Śrīganeśa Purāna represents a dialogue between the Trikālajāna Sage Bhrgu and leprosy-

stricken king of Saurāstra-Somakānta. So this Purāna may have earlier been named as Bhārgava Purāna.

Like the two Great Epics and Dharmasastra, the Puranas are also traditionally categorised as "Smrti". Corresponding to the transmission of the various Sakhas of Sruti from generation to generation in the respective families, through oral. tradition, the various Puranas/upapuranas were also perhaps orally transmitted from generation to generation in the families concerned. Some beginning has already been made in the direction of stylistic studies into the distinctive features of the Mahābhārata as an oral composition (Vide Sharma, Elements of poetry in the Mahābhārata, Berkeley 1964; Reprint, Delhi 1988). No such a study seems yet to have been made with regard to the Puranas in general or even a specific Purana. It is unfortunate that except four or five Puranas that have been critically edited and brought out under the auspices of the All India Kashiraj Trust no crticial editions of the Puranas or Upapuranas are yet available. Even the editions available are full of printing and other errors

(except the Śrimadbhāgavatam).

To my mind a stylistic study with special reference to oral poetic technique as reflected in the Purānas and identification of the oftrepeated poetic formula in the Noun-adjective combination, similes, vocatives etc. should be since qua non for all further Purānic studies. That will enable us to determine the common core and distinctive features of a given Purāna / Upapurāṇa. That will also help us in determining the relative priority or posteriority of a Purāṇa.

As regards this Purana, it must have attained eminence centuries earlier than Nīlakantha (16thcen.). According to R.C.Hazra "it cannot be dated earlier than 1100 A.D." On the basis of some internal and external evidence, he further concludes that "the date of the Ganesa P. falls between 1100 and 1400 A.D."

As stated above, the Puranas too form part of our oral tradition. We can certainly try to determine the date of a text when it was standardised in the process of its oral evolution and was written down. But it is perhaps not possess to determine even approximately, the date of the

beginning of an oral tradition with regard to a particular text, specially when no critical edition representing the oldest available reading of the text is accessible to us. We can thus only conclude that the Ganesa Puranas was written down long before the 16th cen. A.D, may be, between 1100 - 1400 A.D.

As usual, Ganesa Purāṇa is also recited by Sūta in the naimiṣāraṇya in the course of the twelve-year sacrifice performed by Saunaka. The sages have already grasped the eighteen Purāṇas. Now they request Sūta to recite other Purāṇas (anyāṇy api). So Sūta prefers to recite the first upapurāṇa dealing with Gaṇesa. The order of transmission of this Ganesapurāṇa is mentioned as Brahmā-Vyāsa-Bhṛgu.

The central story starts with the depictim of virtues of the king of Devanagar in Saurāşţra-Somakānta by name.

Eventually Somakanta suffers from leprosy. Despite the appeals to the contraryfrom his ministers, subjects, family members, he desperately prefers to relinquish his throne. The unwilling son-Hemakantha is compelled to such

ceed him. Somakānta, together with his wife Sudharmā and the two ministers - Subala and Jñānagamya quits his kingdom and ultimately reaches a beautiful forest. The king sleeps. The two ministers go somewhere in search for fruits etc. At that moment Sudharmā comes across a brilliant child on the bank of a pond and she relates the entire story of her husband's ailments to him.

The child is none else but cyavana himself who conveys the entire story to his father - Bhrgu. Somakānta is summoned to Bhrgu's hermitage. Being asked by the sage, somakānta tells him everything about himself as it happened in this life. The compassionate sage first starts telling him all that happened in Somakānta's previous life by way of determining the root cause of his present trouble.

Somakanta in his previous life was a Vaisya-Kamanda by name. After his father's death, he became a wanton boy indulging in all sorts of undesirable activities; consequently his wife (kutumbin) abandoned him. He went to a forest and started persecuting innocent passers by in-

cluding Brahmins. Brāhmin, Once Gunavadhans by name was caught by him. Gunavardhana tried his best to invite his compassionate treatment towards himself. But Matanga did not spare him even and thereby subjected himself to his infallible curse. When he was old, he repented for his misdeeds and tried to donate the entire plundered wealth to the deserving Brahmins. But none of them agreed to oblige him due to his unpardonable deeds of the past. He then spent his accumulation on the repair of an old delepilated temple of Ganeśa. When he died and was about to be reborn, he was asked :

"What would you like to enjoy first-punya or Papa"?

Matanga preferred to enjoy punya first. So he enjoyed the royal honour, happiness, good health first. He has, thereafter, fallen a victim to the evil fruits of sinful acts in the form of leprosy in this birth.

Thus Bhrgu tells Somakanta all about his previous birth and continuity of the fruits of his misdeeds. Somakanta does not however, believe

in the story. At this, several birds start attacking | him and eating his flesh. The sage, propitiated, however, saves the situation just through his Humkara and the birds fly away. Somakanta is now fully convinced of the futility of his scepticism and falls at the feet of the sage and as advised by the latter has a dip in the pond. The sage also recites the name of Ganesa one hundred and eight times and sprinkles the "mantrita" water on the king. Soon thereafter a monsterous Papapuruşa comes out of the body of the king. The sage commands the Papapurusa to take shelter in a nearby tree. As soon as he enters the tree, it is burnt to ashes. The king is now free from ailments. The sage then advises him to listen to Ganesapurana and predicts that if he faithfully listens to him, slowly and gradually the fallen tree will start growing and as soon as it is grown up into a fullfledged tree, he will be absolutely free from all ailments. The sage further says that Vyāsa had originally obtained this story from Brahma and that he was able to get it from Vyāsa himself. So at this stage Bhrgu retales the story in the form of a dialogue between Brahma

and Vyāsa. It is stated that as Brahmā started working on the eighteen Purānas without propitiating Gaṇeśa, he was not able to go ahead with his plans, (आषधाभिश्व मन्त्रेश भगनवीर्य इवाहिस्स । तस्त्रम्य स्वात्मिन भूशं तख्तुं नाध्यगच्छत I.10.6) he approached Brahmā and sought his help. The Brahmā told him that all this happened due to the latter's negligence with regard to the propitiation of Gaṇeśa (स्मरणं जा गणशस्य प्रारम्य न्यस्य जा तथा। न कृतं च त्वया ध्यास तन भ्रान्तिस्तवाभवत् ।। id. 25). So Bhṛgu repeats the Gaṇeśapurāṇa as originally recited by Brahmā to Vyāsa.

Somakānta, faithfully, listens to Gaņeśapurāṇa ending with Vyāsās Gaņeśa-worship and the consequential boon of omniscience. So the king is not only free from all his ailments but he also attains divinity and leaves for his divine abode by a divine Vimāṇa revisiting Devanagara - his former capital in Saurāṣṭra.

This is in a nutshell, the central theme of Śriganeśpurānam. As usual, there are, however, hundreds of episodes, subepisodes within episodes relating to Ganeśa as a Supreme Divine Being who alone is responsible even for distribu-

tion of work among the great divine Trio. There comes the story of Tripura Vadha, Madhukaiṭabha-Vadha, Paraśurama, Kārtikeya and other well knwon and also not too familiar mythological figures. Ganeśa Sahasra nāma (1.46) Dūrvamāhātmya (1.62-67), and Śrīmad-Ganeśagītā (II.138-148) are undoubtedly of special religious significance. Several Ganeśa Festivals and Ganeśa Tīrthas are also mentioned throughout as part of the episodes.

The Ganeśa Purāna is divided into two Khandas, viz. Upāsanākhanda and Knīdākhanda. The former consists of 92 chapters (4093 verses) while the latter, of 155 chapters (6986 verses). Taken all together, there are 11079 verses in this Purāna.

For a more comprehensive study and a detailed information about this Purana in general and the tradition of Ganesa worship in particular, I would like to invite the attention of readers to the two scholarly articles by R.C. Hazra, viz. The Ganesa Purana (Journal of Gauganath Jha Research Institute, Vol.X, pp. 72-99) and Ganapati worship and the Upapuranas dealing with it (id

Vol.V. Part 4 pp. 263-276) reprinted in Dr. R.C. Hazra Commemoration Volume (of the Purana Bulletion) Part I (All India Kashi Raj Trust, Varanasi) pp. 211-242. One of the specially interesting points specially observed by Hazra is quoted below:

"By its directions that in Ganesa-worship, the twenty-one names of this deity are to be recited (Gan. I. 46. 215-7 and 69.46f), that twenty one fruits (I.69.55) and twentyone twigs of Dūrvā grass are to be offered to Ganesa (I.49.62, 69.46 and 87.8), that the worshipper is to go around the images of the deity twenty one times (I.49.62), that at least twentyone Brāhmins are to be honoured and fed on the occasion (I.51.45 and

87. 9-10), and that twentyone types of gifts are to be made to these Brahmins (I.37.10) and that twenty-one coins (mudrah) are to be given to the priest as his honorrarium (daksina) (I.37.7), the Ganesa Purana seems to regard the number twentyone" as a sacred one" (Commem. Volume pp. 228-9).

As a student of imagery in Sanskrit literature, I am specially enamoured of the imagery (both traditional poetic formulaic as well as original) as found in Ganespurana. I hope, scholars will pay special attention, also to this aspect of this Purana.

I take this opportunity to congratulate M/S Nag Publishers for bringing out this Purāṇa and

their assurances to bring out more and more Puranas and Upapuranas but for which we remain ignorant of our evergrowing great cultural Heritage.

Feb. 9, 1993

Ram Karan Sharma

-x

Director, Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan Joint Educational Adviser Govr. of India Vice Chancellor: Kameshhwara Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit Vishvavidylaya Sampuranand Sanskrit Vishvavidylaye